276

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
P.O. Box 652
Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652
(973) 622-4444
Attorneys for Defendants
Astrazeneca Pharmaceuticals LP,
Astrazeneca LP, Zeneca Inc. and
KBI Sub Inc.

FILED

MAR 20 2009 Judge Jamie D. Happas

MICHAEL V. LUJAN,

Plaintiff.

٧.

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP; ASTRAZENECA LP; ASTRA USA, INC.; KBI SUB, INC.; ZENECA, INC.; ASTRA USA HOLDINGS CORPORATION; ASTRAZENECA, AB; ASTRAZENECA, PLC; and ASTRAZENECA, UK LIMITED; JOHN DOE(S) 1 through 20; and JANE DOE(S) 1 through 20,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

DOCKET NO. MID-L-4234-08-MT

CIVIL ACTION

In Re Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation Case No. 274

ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NOS. 4 and 4A.

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca LP, Zeneca Inc., KBI Sub Inc., and Astra USA, Inc. (collectively "AstraZeneca") to dismiss plaintiff 's Complaint with prejudice as authorized by Case Management Order No. 4A ("CMO 4A"), § II.H.2.; and an Order of Dismissal Without Prejudice having been entered by this Court on October 17, 2008; and the Court having considered the papers submitted, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 20 day of March, 2009;

ORDERED that AstraZeneca's motion is hereby GRANTED and that plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to all parties - served and unserved - pursuant to CMO 4, § II.G, and CMO 4A, § II.H.2 and it is further

ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be served on all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof; and it is further

ORDERED that upon being served with the within order of dismissal with prejudice, plaintiff's counsel shall forthwith serve a copy of this order on the plaintiff by regular and certified mail, return receipt requested.

______Unopposed
Opposed

Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to \underline{R} .1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers.

On this date, pursuant to R.1:6-2 the court's statement of reasons have been set forth on the record.

Jamie D. Happas, J.S.C.